

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,170	10/17/2003	Edward A. Enyedy	LEEE 200336	1901
27885	7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			LAM, THANH	
1100 SUPERIO		VENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2834	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 0				
	10/687,170	ENYEDY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh Lam	2834					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communica  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	lulv 2005.						
	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	· —						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/687,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Response to Arguments

- 1. In response to applicant's argument that "the fields of endeavor of FIGURE 1 and Yamada et al. are entirely distinct and not likely to be cross-referenced by applicants or those skilled in the art practicing in one or the other of the two fields of endeavor". is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, The examiner submits that the fields of endeavor of FIGURE 1 and Yamada et al. are proper because the proposal in combination of both references are in same field of motor structure, specifically in brushes arrangement. Therefore, it is analogous art(s).
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that "Examiner has impermissibly concluded that claim 1 is obvious in view of a combination of FIGURE 1 and Yamada et al. without any legitimate support on the record" there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the record is clearly shown by combined the two cited references, and regarding motivation is support a phase underlined above.

Regarding claims 18, 21-22, the recited limitations are fully incorporated with the rejection of the claim 1.

Regarding claims 2-17,19,20, stands with same ground rejection and detail treatment of these claims as set forth in the rejection below.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art hereinafter' APA (fig. 1 of the application) in view of Yamada et al. (4,827,897).

Regarding claims 1,18,21-22, APA discloses all the aspect of the claimed invention except for a third brush circumferentially spaced from said first brush a second annular distance around said commutator and connectable to said second lead to cause said motor to rotate at a second speed to drive said rolls at a second speed, and a switching circuit with an operative condition to connect said second lead to a selected one of said second and third brushes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an additional bush (third brush) to the APA in order accommodate arrangement of Yamada et al. as describes above that would provide the motor easily change the roration of the speed.

Regarding claims 2,19,the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose said switch circuit (11, or 17,) is operated manually.

Regarding claim 3, the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose including a controller with a first output signal causing said switch circuit (51-52) to select said second brush (35) and a second signal causing said switch circuit, to select said third brush (36).

Regarding claim 4, the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose said controller (20) output signal is caused by an input signal indicative of wire size.

Regarding claims 2-8,the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose said wire feeder include: a fixed ratio gear reducer (20 of APA) between said motor and said feed rolls.

Regarding claims 9-12, the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose said switching circuit when in the condition to select said second brush has a time delay (52) for selecting said third brush for a time (51) before selecting said second brush.

Regarding claims 2-8,the proposal in combination of the APA and Yamada et al. disclose a circuit to latch (51b,52b) said switch circuit in said operative condition when power is received by said leads.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-2026. The examiner can normally be reached on t-f 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

~

Thanh Lam
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2834

\*\*1